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Over the last couple of decades, businesses gained a competitive advantage by automating business processes. New companies and ecosystems were born around ERP, SCM, and CRM. We are at a point where automation is no longer a competitive advantage. The next wave of differentiation will come through decision optimization. And at the heart of decision optimization is a smart decision system, a topic that James Taylor does an outstanding job of explaining in this book.

As James explains, a smart decision system encapsulates business rules, predictive models, and optimization. Business rules codify the best practices and human knowledge that a business builds up over time. Predictive models use statistics and mathematical algorithms to recommend the best action at any given time. Optimization, through constraint-based programming or mathematical programming techniques originally applied to operations research, delivers the best outcome. It is the combination of all three disciplines that enables organizations to optimize decisions. What used to be called artificial intelligence became predictive and advanced analytical techniques and are now Decision Management Systems, which are increasingly populating business processes and making adopters competitive.

As James describes in the book, a Decision Management System optimizes decisions not only for knowledge workers, but for all workers. This enables a call center representative to make the best offer to reduce customer churn, a claims processing worker to maximize fraud detection, and a loan officer to reduce risk while maximizing return. And it's not just decisions made by people—a Decision Management System can enable your e-commerce site to present the next best offer, traffic control systems to automatically make adjustments to reduce congestion, and so on. Well-designed Decision Management Systems keep track of decisions taken and outcomes achieved, then have the ability to make or recommend automatic mid-course corrections to improve outcomes over
time. Decision Management Systems provide competitive differentiation through every critical business processes, at each decision point, leading to optimized outcomes.

I’m convinced that Decision Management Systems have the ability to deliver significant competitive advantage to businesses, governments and institutions. James does a thorough job of explaining the business value and the design elements of Decision Management Systems that are the enablers of a formidable business transformation.

Deepak Advani
Vice President, Business Analytics Products & SPSS, IBM
In the past 30 years, the evolution of computer science can be described as a constant effort to "reify," a long march to transform all activities into "digital things." We started with the structuring of data and the advent of relational database systems, which led to the ascension of Oracle; then with the reification of processes, with the Enterprise Resource Planning software wave leading to the emergence of SAP, and later of I2 for Supply Chain Management and Siebel Systems for Customer Relationship Management.

We moved on to the Business Process Management wave, which now enables the description of most service activities into well-defined sequences of processes weaving human-based processes with computer-based processes. This BPM emergence sets the stage for the next reification wave: that of decisions.

And this is what this book by James Taylor is about: how we can transform the fleeting process of decisions into digital things that we can describe, store, evaluate, compare, automate, and modify at the speed required by modern business.

The rate of change of everything is the global variable, that has changed most over the last 30 years. Relational databases postulated the value of slow-changing table structures. Enterprise Resource Planning systems embedded best-of-breed processes into rather inflexible software architectures. However, nowadays, most decisions live in a very fast-changing environment due to new regulations, frequent catastrophic events, business model changes, and intensely competitive landscapes. This book describes how these decisions can be extracted, represented, and manipulated automatically in an AAA-rated environment: Agile, Analytic, and Adaptive.
The long successful industrial experience of the author and his supporting contributors, and the diversity of their background, has enabled them to merge the points of view of business rules experts with predictive analytics specialists and operations research practitioners. This variety of expert opinions on decisions and their reification has produced a very rich book sprinkled with real-life examples as well as battle-tested advice on how to define, implement, deploy, measure, and improve Decision Management Systems, and how to integrate them in the human fabric of any organization.

The next area in the continuous integration of humans and computers in our modern world will be decisions. All decision-making managers—that is, every manager—should use this book to get ahead of the competition and better serve their customers.

Pierre Haren
VP ILOG, IBM
Decision Management Systems are my business and one of my passions. I have spent most of the last decade working on them. Four years ago I wrote *Smart (Enough) Systems* with Neil Raden, in which we laid the groundwork for talking about Decision Management Systems. I have spent the time since then working with clients and technology vendors to refine the approach. I have read a lot of books on business rules, data mining, predictive analytics, and other technologies. I have had a chance to work with lots of great people with deep knowledge about the technologies involved. And I have been fortunate to work with many clients as they build and use Decision Management Systems. This book is the result.

The book is aimed at those at the intersection of business and technology: executives who take an interest in technology and who use it to drive innovation and better business results, and technologists who want to use technology to transform the business of their organization. You may work for a company that has already built a Decision Management System, perhaps even many of them. More likely you work for an organization that has yet to do so. This book will show you how to build Decision Management Systems, give you tips and best practices from those who have gone before, and help you make the case for these powerful systems.

I wrote the book the way I talk to my clients, trying to put on the page what I say and do when I am working with them. As a result, the book follows the same path that most organizations do.

It begins by setting a context and showing what is possible. By showing what others have done and discussing the Decision Management Systems that other organizations have built, the book draws out what is different about Decision Management Systems. By establishing that these systems are
agile, analytic, and adaptive, it shows how these differences allow Decision Management Systems to be used to transform organizations in critical ways.

The core of the book describes the principles that guide the development of Decision Management Systems and lays out a proven framework for building them. It shows you how to find suitable decisions and develop the understanding of those decisions that will let you automate them effectively. It walks through how to use business rules, predictive analytics and optimization technology to build service-oriented components to automate these decisions. And it explains why monitoring and continuous improvement are so important to Decision Management Systems, and describes the processes and technology you need to ensure your Decision Management Systems perform for the long haul.

The book concludes with a set of people, process, and technology enablers that can help you succeed. The end result is a book that gives you the practical advice you need to build different kind of information systems—Decision Management Systems.

James Taylor
Palo Alto, California
james@decisionmanagementsolutions.com
Approach

The objective of this book is to give the reader practical advice on why and how to develop Decision Management Systems. These systems are agile, analytic, and adaptive—and they fundamentally change the way organizations operate. The book does not get into the details of every stage—it would have to be many times its length to do so—but focuses instead on the critical, practical issues of these systems.

If you are not sure about the value proposition of Decision Management Systems, or have never come across them before, read Part I—Chapters 1-4. These chapters will introduce Decision Management Systems, and give you a sense of their importance to your organization. If you are already sure that you want to build Decision Management Systems, skip straight to Chapter 5 and read Part II—the core “how-to” part of the book. Don’t forget that first part, though—you will want to use it when building your business case!

If you are about to embark on building a Decision Management System, check out the people, process, and technology enablers in Part III, Chapters 8-10, if you haven’t already.

How This Book Is Organized

This book is organized into three parts.

Part I: The Case for Decision Management Systems

The first four chapters make the case for Decision Management Systems—why they are different and how they can transform a 21st century organization.

■ Chapter 1, “Decision Management Systems Are Different”: This chapter uses real examples of Decision Management Systems to show how they are agile, analytic, and adaptive.

■ Chapter 2, “Your business is your systems”: This chapter tackles the question of manual decision-making, showing how modern organizations cannot be better than their systems.

■ Chapter 3, “Decision Management Systems Transform Businesses”: This chapter shows that Decision Management Systems are not just different from traditional systems—they represent opportunities for true business transformation.
Chapter 4, “Principles of Decision Management Systems”: By now you should understand the power of Decision Management Systems. This chapter outlines the key guiding principles for building them.

Part II: Building Decision Management Systems
Chapters 5 through 7 are the meat of the book, outlining how to develop and sustain Decision Management Systems in your organization.

Chapter 5, “Discover and Model Decisions”: This chapter shows how to describe, understand, and model the critical repeatable decisions that will be at the heart of the Decision Management Systems you need.

Chapter 6, “Design and Implement Decision Services”: This chapter focuses on using the core technologies of business rules, predictive analytics, and optimization to build service-oriented decision-making components.

Chapter 7, “Monitor and Improve Decisions”: This chapter wraps up the how-to chapters, focusing on how to ensure that your Decision Management Systems learn and continuously improve.

Part III: Enablers for Decision Management Systems
The final part collects people, process, and technology enablers that can help you be successful.

Chapter 8, “People Enablers”: This chapter outlines some key people enablers for building Decision Management Systems.

Chapter 9, “Process Enablers”: This chapter continues with process-centric enablers, ways to change your approach that will help you succeed.

Chapter 10, “Technology Enablers”: This chapter wraps up the enablers with descriptions of the core technologies you need to employ to build Decision Management Systems.

Epilogue
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He lives in Palo Alto, California with his family. When he is not writing about, speaking on or developing Decision Management Systems, he plays board games, acts as a trustee for a local school, and reads military history or science fiction.
Organizations that have adopted Decision Management Systems have gained tremendous results from doing so. The use of business in Decision Management Systems has given organizations the agility to respond rapidly to competitive and market changes, to avoid business risks, and to take advantage of narrow windows of opportunity. The use of analytics to predict risk, fraud, and opportunity in these Decision Management Systems has kept companies profitable despite the risks they face and has allowed them to maximize the value of their customer relationships through a laser focus on opportunity. The ability of Decision Management systems to adapt to change and to be part of a learning environment has allowed organizations to experiment with new approaches, learn from their successes and failures, and continuously improve their business. Any organization would want the benefits of these kinds of systems.

However, it is not immediately clear how to build Decision Management Systems. Although there are specific technologies involved, the use of these technologies is not sufficient to ensure that Decision Management Systems are the outcome of using them. Decision Management Systems appear to deal with different issues, and have different characteristics, across different industries and business functions. It can be hard to see what an underwriting system in use by
the agents of a property and casualty insurer has in common with a real-time offer management system supporting a website. Yet when the basic principles of Decision Management Systems are understood, they can be correctly identified and delivered with maximum return on investment.

Four specific principles are at the heart of identifying and building Decision Management Systems. If a system exists, it can be assessed against these four principles and can be said to be a Decision Management System if these principles guide its design and implementation. If an information systems project is being considered, then the integration of these principles into the project will ensure that what is delivered is a Decision Management System.

The four principles address the characteristic capabilities of a Decision Management System:

1. **Begin with the decision in mind.**
   
   Decision Management Systems are built around a central and ongoing focus on automating decisions, particularly operational and “micro” decisions.

2. **Be transparent and agile.**
   
   The way Decision Management Systems make each decision is both expliable to non-technical professionals and easy to change.

3. **Be predictive, not reactive.**
   
   Decision Management Systems use the data an organization has collected or can access to improve the way decisions are being made by predicting the likely outcome of a decision and of doing nothing.

4. **Test, learn, and continually improve.**
   
   The decision-making in Decision Management Systems is dynamic and change is to be expected. The way a decision is made must be continually challenged and re-assessed so that it can learn what works and adapt to work better.

**Principle #1: Begin with the Decision in Mind**

Most information systems have been developed, and are continuing to be developed, around business functions, business data, or business processes. Functional systems support a set of related business functions such as accounting or human resources. Data-centric systems focus on
particular kinds of data, such as customer information. Business processes such as order-to-cash have been layered on top of both kinds of systems, and newer systems are developed to deliver additional specific business processes. Each of these approaches has pros and cons, but they all share a common challenge—they assume either that people will make all the decisions involved in the functions and business processes being automated or that how these decisions are made can be fixed. As a result, none of them are Decision Management Systems.

To develop Decision Management Systems, we must take a different approach—not one based on functions, data, or processes. To develop Decision Management Systems we must begin with the decision in mind. Decision Management Systems are built to automate and improve specific business decisions. As a decision involves making a selection from a range of alternatives, these systems make that selection—they choose the action or actions that can or should be made given the data available and the context of the decision. Decision Management Systems do not assume that every decision must always be taken by a human. Decision Management Systems make these decisions using the same business logic humans would apply without human intervention.

Clearly, however, we are neither willing nor able to build information systems to make every decision on our behalf. Only certain decisions can and should be addressed by Decision Management Systems.

**What Kinds of Decisions Are We Talking About?**

Information systems are good at handling repetitive tasks. They excel at doing the same thing over and over without variation and without making mistakes from one transaction to the next. Something that cannot be defined in a repeatable way is not a good target for any kind of information system; thus, only those decisions that are repeatable are good candidates for being automated and managed using a Decision Management System.

**Repeatable Decisions**

A repeatable decision is one that is made more than once by an organization following a well-defined, or at least definable, decision-making approach. Business decisions can be categorized in various ways; one effective way to look at decisions is to categorize them as strategic, tactical, or operational (Taylor & Raden, 2007). This divides decisions into
three categories based on the value of each decision made—the difference between a good and a bad decision—and the number of times such a decision is made by an organization:

- **Strategic decisions** are those high-value, low-volume decisions that guide the overall direction of the company. These ad-hoc, typically one-off decisions are made by senior management or the executive team of an organization. Lots of information is assembled and analyzed while many options are considered. Once the decision is made, it is never made again in the same context—even if it is revisited later, this is really a different decision as circumstances are different. Organizations may know that a strategic decision is going to be needed well in advance, but often these decisions arise from unexpected opportunities or challenges. Strategic decisions are not candidates for Decision Management Systems as they lack the key element of repeatability.

- **Tactical decisions** are those focused on management and control. These medium-value decisions still have significant business impact. They too involve data and analysis, typically by humans in management or knowledge worker positions. However, these decisions do repeat—the same kind of decision is made repeatedly during normal business operations. Decisions about the discounting approach being used or the staffing levels of a call center might be examples, and these decisions must be made every month or every week. The same or very similar analysis is performed each time, and company policies may play a significant role in how the decision is made. More repeatable and consistent tactical decisions are certainly targets for Decision Management Systems.

- **Operational decisions** are those of lower individual value and typically relate to a single customer or a single transaction. They are critical to the effective operation of an organization, especially an organization of any size. Because of the number of times they must be made, consistency and repeatability are critical. Policies and well-defined decision making criteria are typically developed to ensure this consistency. Despite their low individual value, they are extremely valuable in aggregate. A decision made thousands or millions or even billions of times a year has a total value that often exceeds even the most important strategic decision. Furthermore, strategic and tactical decisions (for example, to focus on customer retention or discount more aggressively) will only have an impact if a whole series of operational decisions (how to retain this customer or what discount to offer this distributor) are made in accordance
with the higher-level decision. For these reasons, operational decisions are the most common subject of Decision Management Systems.

To begin with the decision in mind, we must understand what operational or tactical decision is to be the focus of our Decision Management System.

**BUSINESS STRATEGY AND STRATEGIC DECISIONS**

This focus on repeatable operational and tactical decisions can and should be combined with a focus on business strategy. A business strategy must be supported by many operational and tactical decisions if it is to be put into practice. For instance, if a focus on growing per-customer revenue is central to your business strategy, then there may be strategic decisions that must be made to support this strategy. There will definitely be many operational and tactical decisions that will be influenced by and contribute to this strategy. For example, unless operational decisions about customer retention and cross-sell offers are made effectively, you cannot deliver on this customer-centric strategy. As discussed in Chapter 5, “Discover and Model Decisions,” the right operational decisions to focus on are those that support the objectives and key metrics of the organization.

**Operational Decisions**

Operational decisions are by far the most common kind of repeatable decision. Every order placed, every customer interaction, every claim, or credit card transaction involves operational decisions. Operational decisions are the day-to-day, run-the-business decisions that are taken in large numbers by every organization.

Operational decisions are highly repeatable—in fact, being consistent by following a set of guidelines or applying the relevant policies and regulations is a defining characteristic of an operational decision. Operational decisions can also involve an assessment of risk, as many forms of risk (loan default or credit risk, for instance) are acquired one transaction at a time. Operational decisions often must be made in real time or near real time, while customers are waiting for the decision to be made.

Although many operational decisions are made about customers, they can also be made about shipments, suppliers, or staff. As more physical
devices are connected to the Internet with sensors or RFID chips, operational decisions are often made about “things”—about vehicles, packages, railcars, or network components.

**Micro Decisions**

Micro decisions are a particular kind of operational decision (Taylor & Raden, 2007) where the desire to personalize an interaction with a customer requires a focus on making a decision for that customer and that customer only. Often an operational decision is repeated for all customers, with the decision being based only on the data available for the particular interaction or transaction concerned. A micro decision, in contrast, uses everything known or predictable about a customer to make a unique decision just for them. Two customers making the same request or involved in identical transactions would get two different outcomes.

This focus on the information about the customer is what makes micro decisions a distinct form of operational decision. Everything known about the customer must be synthesized into actionable insight about the customer and fed into the operational decision alongside the information about the transaction. For example, when an order is placed, two operational decisions might be made—what shipping options to offer on the order and what discount to offer. The first of these might be managed as a standard operational decision with information about the order such as delivery address, weight, and value used to determine which of the various shipping options would be allowed. The second could be managed similarly but could also be handled as a micro decision. The customer’s history with the company could be used to compute their likely future profitability and the risk that they might consider a competitor. This information, as well as information about the specific order, would then feed a micro decision to calculate a discount specific to this customer placing this order at this moment.

**Tactical Decisions**

Although most repeatable decisions are operational or micro decisions, some are more tactical in nature. These repeatable tactical decisions often relate to management control of operations, such as assessing the staffing level required by a call center for the coming shift. They may also include knowledge worker decisions, such as those in clinical situations where a doctor is advised as to the likely interactions
of a set of medications she has just prescribed to a patient. Although these tactical decisions are not as high-volume as operational or micro decisions, they are often of slightly greater value and so still offer an opportunity for Decision Management Systems.

### CHANGING DECISION CRITERIA

Another set of tactical decisions under managerial control are those for revising the decision criteria used in operational decisions. The world is dynamic, so the decision criteria for operational decisions need to change regularly. For example, customer preferences and fraud patterns change over time, as do the criteria for deciding what offers are to be made. Some tactical decisions are about setting the right decision criteria to be applied in an operational decision.

Because these systems are more complex and less repeatable, however, it is likely that the system will not completely automate the decision. Instead it will guide and support the decision maker by restricting the available options or by focusing them on a specific set of information, which will be useful to making the final determination.

### Different Types of Decisions Interact

Operational decisions are made every time a business process or transaction executes, and tactical decisions are made periodically to change operational decision criteria or to attend to exceptional business situations and take corrective actions. Over a longer horizon, this is not sufficient to improve business outcomes. Business strategy guides tactical and operational decisions and may need to change to respond to the dynamic marketplace and the external world. For example, competitors may introduce new products and services, influencing customer choice and putting competitive pressure on revenue and profitability. This may mean changing business strategy around providing targeted discounts and customizing products. This in turn creates change in processes and associated operational decisions. These strategic, tactical, and operational decisions must be aligned.

One way to understand the relationship between operational, tactical, and strategic decision-making is shown in Figure 4-1. This Observe-Orient-Decide-Act (OODA) model was originally introduced by military strategist and USAF Colonel John Boyd. Business outcomes are “observed”
to detect changing situations that may lead to new tactical decisions, represented by “decide” or strategy “reorientation” with changes in business processes and additional decisions. “Act” represents operational decisions following the decision criteria set by the “decide” stage. There’s more on the OODA Loop in Chapter 10, “Technology Enablers.”

Figure 4-1  The Decision Lifecycle From strategy definition to decision automation.

If We Are Talking About Decisions, Aren’t We Just Talking About Decision Support Systems?

The line between Decision Management Systems and Decision Support Systems (or Executive Information Systems) can be blurry. This is especially true when considering the kind of Decision Management System that handles tactical decisions, or where an operational decision is not completely automated—where the user is presented with multiple valid options, such as possible offers to make.
Decision Management Systems are distinct, however, and they differ from traditional Decision Support Systems in five ways:

1. Decision Support Systems provide information that describes the situation and perhaps historical trends so that humans can decide what to do and which actions to take. Decision Management Systems automate or recommend the actions that should be taken based on the information that is available at the time the decision is being made.

2. The policies, regulations, and best practices that determine the best action are embedded, at least in part, in a Decision Management System where a Decision Support System requires the user to remember them or look them up separately.

3. The information and insight presented in a Decision Support System is typically backward looking, and Decision Support Systems are generally reactive—helping human decision-makers react to a new or changed situation by presenting information that might help them make a decision. In contrast, Decision Management Systems use information to make predictions and aim to be proactive.

4. Learning is something that happens outside a Decision Support System and inside a Decision Management System. Users of Decision Support Systems are expected to learn what works and what does not work and to apply what they learn to future decisions. Decision Management Systems have experimentation or test-and-learn infrastructure built in so that the system itself learns what works and what does not.

5. Decision Management Systems are integrated into an organization’s runtime environment. They make decisions for applications and services in the organization’s enterprise application architecture. In contrast, Decision Support Systems are often desktop or interactive applications that execute outside the core application portfolio.

Why Don’t the Other Approaches Work?

Before considering the remaining principles, it is worth considering why it is essential to begin with the decision in mind. What is it about a focus on functions, on process, or on data that prevents the effective development of Decision Management Systems?
A Functional Focus Is Not Enough

One traditional approach to building systems is to focus on a cluster of related functions—those to do with human resources or those to do with managing a factory, for instance. Such systems contain stacks of capability focused in one functional area and owned by a single functional department. This approach could result in the development of Decision Management Systems if the decisions involved were wholly contained within a single business function. However, while some decisions are concentrated in this way, many cut across functions. A discount calculation decision, for instance, might involve inputs from supply chain functions, from finance, and from customer management. As such a focus on functions will rarely identify and encompass decisions in a way that lends itself to the construction of Decision Management Systems.

A Process Focus Is Not Enough

Functional applications have gradually fallen from favor as organizations have moved to focus on end-to-end business processes. Business processes such as “order to cash” or “issue policy” often cut across several functional areas, linking elements of one function together with elements of another to create a useful business outcome. Although this cross-functional approach can help with the identification of decisions, a pure process focus tends to entwine decisions with the process itself. If no real distinction is drawn between decisions and the processes that need those decisions, it is hard to create true Decision Management Systems. A strong separation of concerns, keeping business processes and decisions linked but separate, is required if enough of a focus on decisions is to be maintained.

Some processes keep decisions separate and manage them separately by assigning these decisions to people in manual process tasks. A focus on human decision-making, even in high-volume operational processes, also does not result in the construction of Decision Management Systems.

A Data Focus Is Not Enough

Particularly when constructing their own custom systems, organizations often focus on the data that must be managed. These systems become focused almost entirely on the management of the data elements or entities concerned. Providing what is known as CRUD functionality
(Create, Read, Update, and Delete) for the core objects becomes their rationale. The data contained is managed only so that it can be edited and displayed while analysis is limited to reporting. Such systems often provide data for decision support systems but they, like process- and function-centric systems, defer decision making to actors outside the system.

**Principle #2: Be Transparent and Agile**

Most information systems in use today are opaque and hard to change. The use of programming languages—code—to specify their behavior makes them opaque to any but the most technically adept. This opacity, and the difficulties of confirming that changes made to the code do what they are expected to do, make for long change cycles and a lack of responsiveness. The combination means that extensive information technology projects must be planned, budgeted, and executed to make changes to the behavior of a system.

These characteristics are unacceptable in a Decision Management System. Opacity is unacceptable because many decisions must demonstrate that they are compliant with policies or regulations. If the code is opaque, then it will not be possible to see how decisions have been made and it will not be possible to verify that these decisions were compliant. Decision Management Systems also make decisions that are based on detailed business know-how and experience. If the code is so opaque that it cannot be understood by those who have this know-how or experience, then it is unlikely to be correct.

Organizational decision-making changes constantly, so agility is also essential. As regulations change, the behavior of any Decision Management System that implements that regulation must also change. Organizations also want Decision Management Systems to make good decisions—effective ones. Effective decisions based on the expectations of customers must be competitive, yet the behavior of competitors and customer expectations change constantly. And moreover, customers and competitors are not obliged to tell organizations when their expectations or plans change. An ability to rapidly change Decision Management Systems to respond is essential.

Decision Management Systems must therefore be both transparent and agile:
• The design must be transparent so that it is clear that the system is executing the behavior expected of it.
• The execution must be transparent so that it is clear how each decision was made.
• They must be agile so that their behavior can be changed when necessary without delay and without unnecessary expense.

Design Transparency and Why It Matters

A Decision Management System must exhibit design transparency. It must be possible for non-technical experts—those who understand the regulations or policies involved or who have the necessary know-how and experience—to determine whether the system is going to behave as required. Those without IT expertise must be able to manage the way in which decisions are made so that it is clear to all participants involved. The drivers or source of this behavior must be identifiable so that those reviewing the behavior of the system can clearly assess its effectiveness in meeting objectives.

Tracking the source of decision-making behavior also means that changes in those sources can be quickly mapped to the changes required in the system. Design transparency means it is possible to determine the way in which a proposed change will ripple through the Decision Management System. One regulatory change might affect many decisions, for example, and decisions may be dependent on the same data elements because they have information needs in common.

Organizations must be sure that their Decision Management Systems will make decisions accurately and effectively after a change is made. This requires that the ripples and impacts of any change can be determined before it is made. Design transparency is essential to being able to trace these impacts.

Execution Transparency and Why It Matters

When a decision is made by a person, that person can be asked to explain the decision. If a person rejects an application for a loan, for instance, he can be asked to appear in court, to write a letter explaining, or simply to answer the customer’s questions. This is not possible when
a system makes a decision. A Decision Management System must therefore provide an explanation of a decision that will satisfy customers or suppliers who are materially affected by it. When a decision is regulated, such as when deciding which consumers may have access to credit, a Decision Management System must provide an exact description of how each decision was made so that it can be reviewed for compliance. Decision Management Systems must deliver real execution transparency in these cases.

Not all decisions require execution transparency. When marketing or promotional decisions are being made, it may not be necessary to understand exactly why a particular offer was made to a particular site visitor. When a Decision Management System is being used to decide when to bring a human into the loop, for fraud investigation for instance, it may also not be necessary to understand why as the human acts as a second “pair of eyes.”

Even when a Decision Management System does not require execution transparency, an understanding of how each decision was made can help improve the decision-making of the system. Building in execution transparency is therefore generally a good idea, whether it is required or not. Any approach to developing Decision Management Systems must support execution transparency as well as design transparency.

**Business Agility and Why It Matters**

An increase in transparency is likely to result in an increase in business agility—if it is easier to see how something works, it will be easier to change how it works when this is needed. A faster response to a needed change improves overall business agility. Transparency is necessary for agility but not sufficient. Once a change is identified and its design impact assessed, it must be possible to make the change quickly and reliably. Decision Management Systems can require real-time changes to their behavior in extreme cases. Daily or weekly changes are very common. When sudden market changes occur, such as major bankruptcies or an outbreak of hostilities, the resulting need for changes to Decision Management Systems can be extreme. Money—and perhaps lives—will be lost every minute until the change is made.

Decision Management Systems must change constantly to reflect new regulations, new policies, and new conditions. This rate of change must
be both possible and cost-effective. For most businesses and other organizations, it will not be acceptable if the needed agility in Decision Management Systems comes at too high a price. For a Decision Management System, change must be easy, it must be reliable, it must be fast, and it must be cost-effective.

**AGILE DECISION-MAKING FOR TRULY AGILE PROCESSES**

Many organizations invest a great deal in developing agile business processes. Decision Management Systems further increase this agility as business changes often involve updates to business decisions. These decisions are often the most dynamic part of a process, the part that changes most often.

For instance, a company's pricing rules are likely to change far more often than its order-to-cash process. If only the business process can be changed quickly, then the company will not be able to respond to the far more numerous pricing changes without changing its process, an unnecessary step. Developing Decision Management Systems allows an organization to control business processes and the critical decisions within them. This increases the agility built into a process and allows for a stable process even when decision-making is constantly changing and evolving.

Explicitly identifying decisions and describing the logic behind them allows this logic to be managed and updated separately from the process itself, dramatically increasing the agility of an organization.

**Principle #3: Be Predictive, Not Reactive**

In recent years, organizations have spent heavily on technology for managing and using data. Beginning with Database Management Systems and moving through Information Management, Data Quality and Data Integration to Reporting, and Dashboards, these investments are now mostly classified as Business Intelligence and Performance Management. These investments have taken data that was once hidden in transactional systems and made it accessible and usable by people making decisions in the organization.

These investments have been focused on analyzing the past and presenting this analysis to human users. They have relied, reasonably enough, on their human users to make extrapolations about the future. Users of these systems are making decisions based on this data, using
what has happened in the past to guide how they will act in the future. Many of these systems can also bring users’ attention to changes in data quickly to prompt decision-making. The value of this investment in terms of improved human decision-making is clear.

These approaches will not work for Decision Management Systems. When a decision is being automated in a Decision Management System, there is no human to do the extrapolation. Passing only historical data into a Decision Management System would be like driving with only the rear view mirror—every decision being made would be based on out-of-date and backward-looking data. It fact it would be worse, as a human driver can make guesses as to what’s in front of her based on what she sees in a rear view mirror. She will be reasonably accurate too, unless the road is changing direction quickly. Systems are not that smart—without people to make extrapolations from data, Decision Management Systems need to be given those extrapolations explicitly. Without some view of the future and the likely impacts of different decision alternatives, a Decision Management System will fail to spot opportunities or threats in time to do anything about them.

Predicting likely future behavior is at the core of using predictions in Decision Management Systems. You need to predict individual customer behavior such as how likely they are to default on a loan or respond to a particular offer. You need to predict if their behavior will be negative or positive in response to each possible action you could take, predicting how much additional revenue a customer might generate for each possible action. You want to know how likely it is that a transaction represents risky or fraudulent behavior. Ultimately you want to be able to predict the best possible action to take based on everything you know by considering the likely future behavior of a whole group of customers.

Decision Management Systems require predictions. They must be given predictions in the context of which they can act instead of simply reacting to the data available at the time a decision is made. They need access to predictions that turn the inherent uncertainty about the future into a usable probability. They cannot be told, for instance, which claims are definitely fraudulent—this is uncertain. They can be given a model that predicts how likely it is that a specific claim is fraudulent.

There are three specific ways in which Decision Management Systems can be given predictions. They can be given models that predict risk or fraud, that predict opportunity, and that predict the impact of decisions. They can use these predictions to direct, guide, or push decision-making in the right direction.
Predict Risk or Fraud

Most repeatable decisions do not have a huge economic impact individually. Despite their limited scope, many do have a significant gap between good and bad decisions. The value of the decision varies significantly with how well they are made. This gap arises when there is a risk of a real loss if a decision is made poorly. For instance, a well-judged loan offer to someone who will pay it back as agreed might net a bank a few tens of dollars in profit. A poorly judged offer will result in the loss of the loan principal—perhaps thousands of dollars. This mismatch between upside and downside is characteristic of risk-based decisions. Similarly, a poorly made decision in detecting fraud can result in large sums being transferred to an imposter or large purchases being made using stolen credit cards.

When Decision Management Systems are being used to manage these decisions, it is essential that the decision-making be informed by an accurate assessment of the risks of the particular transaction or customer concerned. Such models might be focused on fraud, using analysis of patterns revealed in past fraudulent transactions to predict how likely it is that this transaction is also fraudulent. They might be focused on the likelihood of default, using a customer’s past payment history and the history of other customers like him to predict how likely it is that he will fail to make payments in a timely fashion.

Many techniques can be used to build such models from historical data, but all of them require knowledge of which historical transactions were “bad”—fraudulent or in default. These known cases are used to train a model to predict how similar a new transaction is to these “bads.” Once such a prediction exists, a Decision Management System can use it, treating those transactions or customers with particularly high, or particularly low, risk differently.

Predict Opportunity

Many decisions do not involve an assessment of downside risk, but they still have some variability. Not driven entirely by compliance with regulations or policies, these decisions require an assessment of opportunity before an appropriate choice can be made. There is typically no absolute downside if a poor decision is made, simply a missed opportunity. When Decision Management Systems are being used to manage these opportunity-centric decisions, they will need to have some way to manage these tradeoffs.
These decisions are largely, though not exclusively, about how to treat customers. Deciding which offer to make to a customer or which ad to display to a visitor are examples of decisions where the “best” decision is one which makes the most of the opportunity to interact with the customer or visitor. Historical data can be used to predict how appealing a particular offer or product might be to a particular person or to a specific segment of customers. The value to the company of each offer, combined with the likelihood that a particular customer will accept it, can then be used to identify the most effective offer—to make the best decision.

When many such offers are being considered, it may be complex to identify the “best” offer. It may be difficult to manage the tradeoffs between the various decisions. In these circumstances, Decision Management Systems can take advantage of optimization technology that allows the tradeoffs to be explicitly defined, and then the “optimal” or best outcome can be selected mathematically.

**Predict Impact of Decisions**

Sometimes the effect of an action taken by a Decision Management System cannot be precisely determined. For instance, the value of a subscription for a mobile phone will vary with the use made of the phone. When an action is available for a decision and has this kind of uncertainty about its value, a further prediction is needed.

The likely impact of each action on the profitability, risk or retention of a customer can be predicted by analyzing the behavior of other similar customers who were treated the same way—for whom the same action was taken. The prediction of the likely impact of each action can be combined with predictions of risk and opportunity to improve the quality of decision-making in Decision Management Systems.

**Principle #4: Test, Learn, and Continuously Improve**

Most information systems have a single approach to handling any decisions that have been embedded in them. Every transaction is treated the same way, with possible alternative approaches largely eliminated during design to find the “best” approach. Once this singular approach has been implemented, information systems continue to work the way they were originally designed until someone explicitly re-codes them to behave differently. The only way these systems are changed is when an
external agent—a human—decides that a change is required. These sys-
tems also accumulate large amounts of data about customers, products, 
and other aspects of the business. This data might show that certain 
actions are more effective than others, but the system will continue with 
its programmed behavior regardless—every customer is treated like the 
first.

This approach is not an effective way to develop Decision 
Management Systems. When we make decisions about our own lives or 
interactions, we often assess a large amount of data, either explicitly or 
implicitly. We learn from this data what is likely to work or not work—
the data accumulated provides clues to how an effective decision can be 
made. A Decision Management System cannot afford to ignore the accu-
mulated historical data.

Decisions involve making a selection from a range of alternative 
actions and then taking the selected action. It is often not immediately 
obvious if the decision was made effectively. Some decisions have a sig-
nificant time to outcome, and no assessment of the effectiveness of the 
decision will be possible until that time has passed. For instance, an 
early intervention designed to ensure a customer renews her annual con-
tract cannot be assessed until the customer reaches the renewal point, 
perhaps many months later. If the action taken turns out to be ineffec-
tive, then a different approach will need to be considered. A Decision 
Management System cannot afford to “single thread” this analysis by 
only testing one decision making approach at a time.

Whether a decision is a good one or a bad one is a moving target. A 
decision may be made to discount a particular order for a customer that 
may be competitive today but much less so tomorrow because a competi-
tor has changed their pricing. As markets, competitors, and consumer 
behavior shift, they affect the effectiveness of a decision. This constant 
change in the definition of an effective decision means that Decision 
Management Systems must optimize their behavior over time, continu-
ously refining and improving how they act.

Decision Management Systems must therefore test, learn, and contin-
uously improve. The analysis and changes may be done by human 
observers of the Decision Management System or by the system itself in 
a more automated fashion. Decision Management Systems must collect 
data about the effectiveness of decision making. They must use this data, 
and other data collected by traditional information systems, to refine 
and improve their decision-making approach. Decision Management
Systems must allow multiple potential decision-making approaches to be tried simultaneously. These are continually compared to see which ones work and which ones do not. Successful ones persist and evolve, unsuccessful ones are jettisoned. Finally, Decision Management Systems must be built on the basis that their behavior will change and improve over time. Decision Management Systems will not be perfect when implemented but will optimize themselves as time passes.

Collect and Use Information to Improve

The first way Decision Management Systems must learn is through collecting and then using information about the decisions they make. When a Decision Management System makes a decision, it should record what decision it made, as well as how and why it made the decision it did. This decision performance information will allow the long-term effectiveness of a decision to be assessed as it can be integrated with the organization’s performance metrics to see which decisions result in which positive, or negative, performance outcomes. This information allows good decisions to be differentiated from bad ones, better ones from worse ones. It is often said that if you wish to improve something, you must first measure it. Decisions are not an exception to this rule.

Information about the decisions made can and should be combined with the information used to make the decision. This information might be about a customer, a product, a claim, or other transaction. This is the information that is passed to the Decision Management System so that it can make a decision. Combining this information with the decision performance information will identify differences in performance that are caused by differences in the information used to drive the decision. For instance, a decision-making approach may work well for customers with income below a certain level and poorly for those above it. Storing, integrating, analyzing, and using this data to improve decision-making is the first building block in building Decision Management Systems that continuously improve.

Support Experimentation (Test and Learn)

When a Decision Management System is being defined, it may not be clear what approach will result in the best outcomes for the organization. Several alternative approaches might all be valid candidates for
“best approach.” Simulation and modeling of these approaches, and testing them against historical data, might show which approach is most likely to be superior. Even if the historical data points to a clear winner, the approach is going to be used against new data and may not perform as well in these circumstances.

A Decision Management System, therefore, needs to be able to run experiments, choosing between multiple defined approaches for real transactions. The approach used for each transaction can be recorded, and this information will allow the approaches to be compared to see which is superior. This comparison may not be definitive, and one approach may be better for some segments of a customer base, while a second works better for other segments. Results from these experiments can then be used to update the Decision Management System with the most successful approach or combination of approaches. Because Decision Management Systems handle repeatable decisions, there will always be more decisions to be made that will be able to take advantage of this improved approach.

Optimize Over Time

In a static world, one round of experimentation might be enough to find the best approach. A set of experiments could be conducted and the most effective approach selected. As long as nothing changes, this approach will continue to be most effective. However, the effectiveness of a decision-making approach can vary over time for many reasons, and you have little or no control over this. The old “best” approach may degrade suddenly or gradually, and when it does, you will need to have alternatives. Even when experimentation finds a clear winner, a Decision Management System needs to keep experimenting to see whether any of the alternative approaches have begun to outperform the previous winner. Alternatives approaches could be those rejected as inferior initially or new ones developed specifically to see whether a new approach would be superior in the changing circumstances. The effect of this continuous and never-ending experimentation is to optimize results over time by continually refining and improving decision-making approaches.
Summary

Decision Management Systems are different from traditional information systems.

- Traditional information systems have a process, data, or functional focus. Decision Management Systems are decision-centric, built with a repeatable decision in mind.
- Traditional information systems are opaque and hard to change. Decision Management Systems improve collaboration and compliance by being transparent and agile.
- Traditional information systems present historical data as analyses to people. Decision Management Systems embed analytics that predict risk, opportunity, and impact deep into the system itself.
- Traditional information systems are static and don’t use the data they store to improve their results. Decision Management Systems test new approaches, learn what works, and continuously improve.

Developing Decision Management Systems requires a new approach; this is the subject of Part II, “Implementing Decision Management.”
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mapping, 96-97
micro decisions, 52, 86-87
monitoring, 159
proactive changes, 163-167
reactive changes, 159-162
operational decisions, 50-51
opportunity decisions, 85
prioritizing, 111-113
repeatable decisions, 49-50
repeatable management decisions, 86
risk decisions, 83
strategic decisions, 50-51
tactical decisions, 50, 52-53
validation, 82
dependencies
determining, 102
finding, 104
modeling, 102-104
finding information sources, 104-105
finding know-how, 106-108
iterate, 108
dependency loops, 104
dependency networks, turning into flow, 119-121
deploying
business rules, Decision Services, 137
changes to decision-making approaches, 187
predictive analytic models, 140
depressions, 208
design
assessing impact, 168-169
experimentation, 226-227
design impact analysis, 168
design tools
for modelers, 242
optimization systems, 244
design transparency, 58
designing new decision-making approaches, 181
developing decision-making approaches, 176
building rule management environments, 177-179
comparing alternatives, 182-183
designing new approaches, 181
updating predictive analytic models, 179-180
distributed interactions, 27
documenting decisions, 99
decision basics, 99-100
decision characteristics, 108-110
linking to the business, 101
modeling dependencies, 102-108

executable rules
business rules, Decision Services, 130-131
objects, 131
execution transparency, 58-59
expectations, 20
24/7 world, 23
global services, 22
real-time responsiveness, 20-21
self-service, 22-23
experimental designs, 182
experimentation, 222-223
business experimentation, 224
control groups, 224-225
design, 226-227
IT experimentation, 223-224
supporting, 65
experts
Decision Services, 126
fact-based decisions, 230-232
external data costs, managing, 106
external sources, 105

E
e-government initiatives, 33
effectiveness, assessing decision effectiveness, 170-172
efficiency, scale of big business, 24-25
eligibility, 81-82
enriching live data, 260
energy networks, 42
enterprise data, 165
Equifax, 16
escalation, process-centric, 91
event-centric, finding decisions, 91
event and non-event data, 92-93
event streams, 93-94
finite state analysis, 94
event data and non-event data, 92-93
event integration, Decision Services, 150
Event Processing System, 258-261
event streams, event-centric, 93-94
executable business rules, writing, 134

F
fact-based decisions
decision-making approaches, 228
information strategies, 232
moving to, 228
presenting data with decisions together, 230
role of experts, 230-232
statistical awareness, 229-230
factorial experiments, 227
finding
decision dependencies, 104
decisions, 87-88
analyzing legacy systems, 95-96
event-centric, 91-94
metrics and performance management systems, 96-98
process-centric, 89-91
top-down, 88
information sources, 104-105
know-how, 106-108
finite state analysis, event-centric, 94
flow, turning dependency networks into, 119-121
can be, 56
focusing resources, 13-14
fraud
banking, 40
credit cards, 40
credit cards crushing, 39-41
insurance, 39
predicting, 62
reducing, 10-11
fraud decisions, 84-85
freedom, decision characteristics, 110
freeform rules, 133
functional focus, 56

G
Getronics, 15
Gladwell, Malcolm, 230
global services, consumer expectations, 22
goals, 111
government, always on, 33
graphs, 134
Grup Bancolombia, 10-11

H
HDFS (Hadoop Distributed file System), 253
healthcare, 46
Clinical Decision Support systems, 45
HealthNow New York, 7
HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act), 6

I-J
IBM Microelectronics, 43
impact analysis, 170
impact of decision-making, confirming, 184
advanced simulation, 187
simulations, 185
testing, 184
what-if analysis, 186
impact of decisions, predicting, 63
improving decision-making, 8
by using data, 15
in-database analytics, 141, 247, 251-253
incremental patterns, 222
Infinity Insurance
breaking ratios, 36
fraud, 40
information sources, finding, 104-105
information strategies, fact-based decision, 232
informed pessimism, 208
insurance
always on, 34-35
fraud, 39
underwriters, 36
integrating business rules, Decision Services, 137
integration, Decision Services, 147
case management integration, 151-152
data integration, 148-149
event integration, 150
process integration, 150
interactions, 25
distributed interactions, 27
mobile interactions, 26
social interactions, 26-27
interactive user interfaces, optimization systems, 244
Interactive Voice Response systems, 24
InterConnect (Equifax), 16
internal sources, 105
IT departments, 192-193
IT experimentation, 223-224
iterate
decision dependencies, 108
optimization models, 147
$K$
know-how, finding, 106-108
KPIs, 94-96
mapping, 96-97
KPN, adaptive, 15-16

$L$
learning, 16-17
legacy systems, 95-96
Decision Services, 124-125
linking
performance management and decision management, 167
to implementation, decision inventory, 214-215
to source rules, 135
live data capture, Event Processing System, 259
local exceptions, process-centric, 90
loops, dependency loops, 104
Loveman, Gary, 225
loyal customers, 27

$M$
managing
decision inventory, 211-212
business processes, 212
collaboration, 213
linking to implementation, 214-215
refactoring on each project, 213
synchronizing with performance management changes, 214
organizational change, 208-209
portfolio risk, 84
risk, analytics, 8-10
tradeoffs, 17-18
mapping
decisions, 96-97
KPIs, 96-97
market of one, 31
experience of one, 32
paralysis of choice, 31

mathematical optimization, 243
maximizing
assets, 41-44
revenue, 44-45
measurable business impact,
characteristics of suitable decisions, 79
member enrollment processes, 7
metrics, 96
analyzing past data, 97
mapping decisions and KPIs, 96-97
root cause analysis, 98
micro decisions, 52, 86-87
mobile devices, 26
mobile interactions, 26
model refresh, predictive analytic models, 179
model repository, 241
modelers, design tools, 242
modeling algorithms, 242
modeling dependencies, 102-104
finding information sources, 104-105
finding know-how, 106-108
iterate, 108
modeling languages, optimization systems, 244
models
association models, 240
choosing which one to use, 241
clustering, 240
monitoring, 163
predictive analytic models, 240
statistical models, 240
monitoring
decisions, 159
proactive changes, 163-167
reactive changes, 159-162
models, 163
multiple approaches, appropriate responses, 172
A/B testing, 173
champion-challenger testing, 174
multiple similar processes, process-centric, 90

N
Netflix, 32
New York Department of Taxation and Finance, 38-39
non trivial, characteristics of suitable decisions, 75
continued updates, 78
decision is made at defined times, 75
domain knowledge and expertise, 76
large amounts of data, 77
large numbers of actions, 78
policies and regulations, 76
required analysis, 77
trade-offs, 78
non-event data and event data, 92-93

O
objectives, optimization models, 144
objects, executable rules, 131
observe, OODA loop, 233
OODA (Observe-Orient-Decide-Act), 53
OODA loop (Observe, Orient, Decide, Act), 232
act, 234
decide, 234
observe, 233
orient, 233
operational databases, 247-248
operational decisions, 50-51, 222
opportunity, predicting, 62
opportunity decisions, 85
optimization, refining decision-making approaches, 174-176
optimization models, Decision Services, 141-143
constraints, 144
decision variables, 144
iterate, 147
objectives, 144
solvers, 146
optimization systems, 243-244
optimizing, predictions, 147
organizational change, 206-207
difficulties of, 207-208
managing, 208-209
reasons for, 209
sponsorships, 209
organizational issues, three-legged stool, 196
organizations, changing, 27-28
orient, OODA loop, 233
orthogonality, 227

P-Q
paralysis of choice, 31
patterns, 216
Decision Service integration patterns, 221-222
incremental patterns, 222
strategic patterns, 221
tactical patterns, 221
pay and chase, 39
performance, Decision Services, 171
performance management, linking with decision management, 167
performance management systems, 96
analyzing past data, 97
mapping decisions and KPIs, 96-97
root cause analysis, 98
personalization, 32
policies, reactive changes, 160-161
policies and regulations, Decision Services, 125-126
pooled data sources, 106
portfolio risk, managing, 84
pre-configured decision management systems, 244-245
cons of, 246
pros of, 245
predicting
fraud, 62
impact of decisions, 63
opportunity, 62
risk, 62
predictions, optimizing, 147
predictive analytic models, 223, 240
building, 239
Decision Services, 137-138
building and testing, 139
deploying, 140
exploring and understanding data, 138
preparing data, 138-139
selecting techniques, 139
updating
champion-challenger, 180
model refresh, 179
self-learning, 179
predictive analytics, 83
Predictive Analytics Workbench, 239-241
preparing data for predictive analytic models, 138-139
presenting data with decisions together, fact-based decisions, 230
principles of Decision Management Systems, 48
be predictive not reactive, 60-61
predicting impact of decisions, 63
predicting opportunity, 62
predicting risk or fraud, 62
be transparent and agile, 57
business agility, 59-60
design transparency, 58
execution transparency, 58-59
begin with a decision in mind, 48-49
Decision Support Systems, 54-55
micro decisions, 52
operational decisions, 51
repeatability, 49-50
tactical decisions, 52-53
test, learn, and continuously improve, 63-65
collect and use information to improve, 65
optimize over time, 66
support experimentation, 65
prioritizing decisions, 111-113
proactive changes, 163
building decision monitoring environments, 165-166
capturing decision effectiveness data, 164
linking performance management and decision management, 167
process-centric, finding decisions, 89
decision points, 89
escalation and referral, 91
local exceptions, 90
multiple similar processes, 90
process focus, 56
process improvement, agility, 7
process integration, Decision Services, 150
project goals, 111
R
randomization, 226
ratios, breaking, 36-38
reactive changes, 159
changes to business goals and metrics, 159-160
changes to underlying data, 161-162
new regulations or policies, 160-161
real-time responsiveness, consumer expectations, 20-21
reasons for organizational change, 209
reducing fraud, analytics, 10-11
refactoring projects, decision inventory, 213
referrals, process-centric, 91
regulations, reactive changes, 160-161
Index

repeatability, characteristics of
suitable decisions, 72
consistent measurement of success, 75
decision is made at defined times, 73
defined set of actions, 74
same information is used each time, 73
repeatable decisions, 49-50
repeatable management decisions, 86
resolutioning organizational issues, three-legged stool, 196
resources, focusing, 13-14
responses, determining appropriate responses, 167-168
assessing decision effectiveness, 170-172
assessing design impact, 168-169
comparing existing approaches, 172
determining if multiple new approaches are required, 172-174
optimization to refine decision-making approaches, 174-176
renewing, 11-13
revenue, maximizing, 44-45
Richmond Police Department, 13-14
risk
managing, 8-10
predicting, 62
risk decisions, 82-83
root cause analysis, metrics and performance management systems, 98
Roses, Allen, 32
rule management environments, building, 177-179
rule sheets, 132

S
scaffolding, building Decision Services, 116-117
build test and learn infrastructure, 121-122
defining service contracts and test cases, 117-119

turning dependency networks into flow, 119-121

scale of business operations, 23
big data, 24
efficiency, 24-25
transaction volumes, 25
SDLC (software development lifecycle), adapting, 215-219
multiple data models and business process management, 220
selecting business rules representation, 132-134
self-learning, predictive analytic models, 179
self-service, consumer expectations, 22-23
Sequoia Hospital, 46
service contracts, 117-119
service-oriented platforms, 255
BPMS (Business Process Management System), 257-258
Event Processing System, 258-261
SOA, 256
simulations, impact of decision-making approaches, 185
Smart Grids, 42
Smart Meters, 42
smart people, 45-46
SOA (service-oriented architecture), 256
social interactions, 26-27
solvers
optimization models, 146
optimization systems, 244
source rules
Decision Services, 123-124
linking to, 135
sponsorships, organizational change, 209
Starbucks, 32
statistical awareness, fact-based decisions, 229-230
statistical models, 240
strategic decisions, 50-51, 222
strategic patterns, 221
structured content, 105
suitability, 80
suitable decisions, characteristics of, 72
candidates for automation, 80-81
measurable business impact, 79
non trivial, 75
non trivial, analysis, 77
non trivial, continued updates, 78
non trivial, domain knowledge and expertise, 76
non trivial, large amounts of data, 77
non trivial, large numbers of actions, 78
non trivial, policies and regulations, 76
non trivial, trade-offs, 78
repeatability, 72
repeatability, consistent measurement of success, 75
repeatability, decision is made at defined times, 73
repeatability, defined set of actions, 74
repeatability, same information is used each time, 73
synchronizing with performance management changes, decision inventory, 214

table-driven code, 95
tactical decisions, 50, 52-53
tactical patterns, 221
targeting, 11-13
telecommunications, maximizing assets, 41
templates, BRMS, 134
test cases, 117-119
testing, 16-17
three-legged stool, 191-193
aligning around business results, 195
building collaboration skills, 193-194

Decision Discovery, 194-195
resolving organizational issues, 196
starting three-way conversations, 193
time to value, decision characteristics, 110
timeliness, decision characteristics, 109
top-down, finding decisions, 88
traceability, 169
business rules, 136
tradeoffs, managing, 17-18
transaction volumes, scale of big business, 25
transparency
design transparency, 58
execution transparency, 58-59
travel agencies, 44
trigger action, Event Processing System, 260

U
underwriters, 36
unstructured content, 105
updating predictive analytic models
champion-challenger, 180
model refresh, 179
self-learning, 179

V
validating business rules, 136
validation, 82
value range, decision characteristics, 109
verifying business rules, 136
versioning, Decision Services, 256
volume, decision characteristics, 108

W-X-Y-Z
what-if analysis, 98
impact of decision-making approaches, 186
writing executable business rules, 134
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